1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA	
7		
8	HARRY GALEKOVICH,	
9	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C11-5736BHS
10	v.	ORDER DENYING VARIOUS MOTIONS
11	CITY OF VANCOUVER, et al.,	
12	Defendants.	
13		•
14	This matter comes before the Court on	Defendants' Notice of Compliance with
14 15	This matter comes before the Court on Second Judicial Stay. Dkt. 92. Defendants ha	-
		ve brought Plaintiff's violation of the
15	Second Judicial Stay. Dkt. 92. Defendants ha	ve brought Plaintiff's violation of the Court hereby denies the motions filed as
15 16	Second Judicial Stay. Dkt. 92. Defendants ha Court's order to the Court's attention and the Court's attention at the Cour	ve brought Plaintiff's violation of the Court hereby denies the motions filed as s were filed in violation of the Court's stay
15 16 17	Second Judicial Stay. Dkt. 92. Defendants has Court's order to the Court's attention and the Court's assuch motions. Dkts. 89, 91, 93, 94, 96, & 97, as such motions.	ve brought Plaintiff's violation of the Court hereby denies the motions filed as s were filed in violation of the Court's stay on the parties' filing of motions and
15 16 17 18	Second Judicial Stay. Dkt. 92. Defendants has Court's order to the Court's attention and the Court's assuch motions (Dkts. 89, 91, 93, 94, 96, & 97, as such motions (Dkt. 70). The Court is hereby lifting the stay	ve brought Plaintiff's violation of the Court hereby denies the motions filed as s were filed in violation of the Court's stay on the parties' filing of motions and vithout prejudice. However, the Court
15 16 17 18 19	Second Judicial Stay. Dkt. 92. Defendants has Court's order to the Court's attention and the Court's attention and the Court's assuch motions (Dkt. 89, 91, 93, 94, 96, & 97, as such motions (Dkt. 70). The Court is hereby lifting the stay therefore its denial of Plaintiff's motions are well.	ve brought Plaintiff's violation of the Court hereby denies the motions filed as swere filed in violation of the Court's stay on the parties' filing of motions and vithout prejudice. However, the Court clous motions (see Dkts. 70 & 81 (warning

Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the judicial stay is **LIFTED** and Plaintiffs' motions (Dkts. 89, 91, 93, 94, 96, & 97) are **DENIED without prejudice** as discussed above. Dated this 2nd day of August, 2012 United States District Judge